

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.unsto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/961,395		09/25/2001	Harald Jakob	P 265258 000345 PV	5500	
909	7590	08/14/2003				
		THROP, LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				LISH, PETER J		
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1754		
				DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

					<i>-</i>
		Application No.	A	pplicant(s)	U
		09/961,395	J.	AKOB ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Α	rt Unit	
		Peter J Lish		754	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover	she t with the corr	respondence addr	988
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, howe reply within the statutory mini riod will apply and will expire S atule, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely mum of thirty (30) days wii SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED (3	filed II be considered timely, mailing date of this comr 35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 February 2002 .			
2a)□		This action is non-fir	nal.	•	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims	owance except for fo	rmal matters, pros		merits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applica	tion.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from considera	ation.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-10 is/are rejected.				
` ·7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirer	nent.		
-	ion Papers	·			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iiner.	•		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) objecte	ed to by the Examir	ner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	d b)□ disapprove	d by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •	ion.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a	d) or (f).	
a)[⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been recei	ved.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been recei	ved in Application	No	
* \$	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	n this National St	age
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	oplication).
) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom				
Attachmen					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) 🔲	Interview Summary (P' Notice of Informal Pate Other:		
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Pa	rt of Paper No. 7	

Application/Control Number: 09/961,395

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 4-7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brichard et al. (USPN 4,428,914).

Brichard et al. teach a process for the production and granulation of sodium percarbonate by impregnating solid sodium percarbonate particles, or seeds, are impregnated with an aqueous solution containing hydrogen peroxide and an aqueous solution containing sodium carbonate, and water is evaporated from the seeds in a fluidized bed. Additives, such as stabilizers of magnesium sulfate and sodium silicate are added to the aqueous solutions. The stabilizers are generally added in amounts between 0.1-20 g of stabilizer per kg of sodium percarbonate product, which is equivalent to between 100 - 20,000 ppm (column 4, lines 19-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a magnesium

Application/Control Number: 09/961,395

Art Unit: 1754

sulfate stabilizer in the amount of between 50-2,000 ppm, preferably in the amount between 200-1,000 ppm, as it is within the range taught by the reference. Brichard et al. teach that in most embodiments of his invention, the hydrogen peroxide stream contains the magnesium sulfate. Regarding claims 8-9, it is expected that the sodium percarbonate product of Brichard et al. will have identical properties to those claimed, as an identical process is used for its formation.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brichard et al. as applied to claims 8-9 above, and further in view of Bewersdorf et al. (USPN 5,714,201).

Brichard et al. teach that sodium silicate is added to the aqueous solutions as a stabilizer. However, they do not teach the specific amount to be added or the modulus. Bewersdorf et al. teach a similar fluidized bed process with the addition of a sodium silicate with a modulus between 1 and 3 to an aqueous solution. The sodium silicate is introduced in an amount between 0.1 and 2.5 wt%, preferably between 0.5 and 1 wt%, in each case calculated as SiO₂ and relative to sodium percarbonate (column 4, lines 5-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to add the sodium silicate, or waterglass, of Bewersdorf et al. as a stabilizer in the process of Brichard et al. While the amount and distribution of SiO2 is not explicitly taught, the product sodium percarbonate is expected to have between 0.1 and 1 wt% SiO₂ evenly distributed in the grain because it is made by a process which is identical to that claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,395

Art Unit: 1754

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J Lish whose telephone number is 703-308-1772. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:00 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-305-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

PL

August 5, 2003

STUART L. HENDRICKSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER